

Minutes of the Board of Approval meeting held on 17.3.2006 at 10.30 A.M. under the Chairmanship of Shri Gopal K. Pillai, Special Secretary, Department of Commerce to consider proposals for setting up of new Special Economic Zones.

A meeting of the Board of Approval was held on 17.3.2006 at 10.30 A.M. under the Chairmanship of Shri Gopal K. Pillai, Special Secretary, Department of Commerce to consider proposals for setting up new Special Economic Zones. A list of participants is enclosed.

(2) The Chairman welcomed Members to the first meeting of the Board of Approval constituted under the SEZ Act. He made a mention of the minimum area requirement for setting up of multi-product SEZs and various sector-specific SEZs, as provided in the Statutory Rules that came into force on 10 February 2006. He noted that the Department of Revenue had a difference of opinion in this regard.

(3) A discussion was held on permitting trading units in SEZs. There was an apprehension that purely trading units in the DTA may seek to relocate to an SEZ for tax avoidance. In view of the concerns of revenue loss due to such activity being allowed in the SEZs, the Board decided to instruct the Development Commissioners not to allow any trading units to be set up in SEZs until the issue was fully examined and guidelines were issued on this subject. There was clear understanding in the BOA that international trading from the SEZ was not at issue i.e. physical import for export from the SEZ.

(4) The issue of the time period for construction of the minimum prescribed built-up processing area for IT-SEZs (one lakh square meters) was also discussed by the Board. The developers of SEZs had expressed difficulty in having such a large constructed area prior to start-up of the units in the SEZs. It was noted that there was no prescription in the Rules as to the period within which the built-up processing area of 1 lakh square meters needs to be put in place. It was decided that the IT SEZs would have to construct the minimum built-up processing area of one lakh square meters within 3 years from the date of notification of the SEZ.

(5) The Board discussed the procedure it should adopt in considering applications from establishing SEZs. The Chairman circulated a draft of the procedure that could be followed by the BOA in considering such applications. This procedure was broadly approved by the Board and is enclosed at **Annexure I**. It was also decided that in future the Secretariat of the BOA would scrutinize the proposals and the Members of the BOA would be provided with a Checklist in respect of each proposal. A proforma of the Checklist is at **Annexure II**.

(6) At the outset, the Board took up for consideration those proposals which had been approved by the Board of Approval before the commencement of the SEZ Act and Rules but which had not been notified by the Department of Commerce/Revenue. These proposals now required approval under the SEZ Act.

(7) After taking into consideration various aspects, including the minimum area requirement stipulated in the SEZ rules, the criteria of possession of the land by the developer, the recommendation of the State Government, and other criteria prescribed in the SEZ Act/Rules, the decisions of the Board on the proposals is as under:

1. Setting up of a sector-specific SEZ for Petroleum and Petrochemicals at Jamnagar, Gujarat by M/s. Reliance Infrastructure Limited.

The representative of the Developer stated that 1087 acres (440 hectares) of land is owned by them and is in their possession. The State Government had already conveyed its recommendation on the proposal. The representative of the Developer stated that after further acquisition of land, the SEZ would be converted into a multi-product SEZ, upon meeting the minimum area requirement of 1000 hectares prescribed for such an SEZ.

The Board approved the grant of formal approval for a Petroleum and Petrochemicals sector-specific SEZ over an area of 1087 (440 hectares) acres with an in-principle approval for its expansion into a multi-product SEZ as and when the minimum area of 1000 hectares is acquired by the developer.

2. Setting up of a Multi-product SEZ at Dahej, Gujarat by M/S. Gujarat Industrial Development Corporation over an area of 4370 acres (1768 hectares).

The representative of the Developer presented the proposal and a map of the proposed location of the SEZ which was perused by Members of the Board. It was noted that the proposal met the contiguity criteria as well as the minimum area prescribed for a multi-product SEZ. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

3. Setting up of a Multi Product SEZ at Navi Mumbai by M/s. NMSEZ Development Company Ltd.

The representative of the Developer stated that lease deed for 450 hectares of land of the SEZ has been finalized with CIDCO. It was stated that additional land would be leased by CIDCO only after commencement of the operation of the SEZ over this area of 450 hectares. These were reportedly the terms and conditions upon which the project had been awarded to the Developer by the Government of Maharashtra.

The minimum area requirement of 1000 hectares prescribed for multi-product SEZs was explained to the Developer. It was further explained that the SEZ can be notified only if this minimum area is in the possession of the Developer. Approval cannot be granted for an area less than 1000 hectares under the provisions of SEZ Act/Rules.

The proposal was deferred and the Developer was advised to approach the Board after having the minimum prescribed area in possession by way of ownership/lease.

4. Setting up of a Multi-product SEZ for at Mundra (Gujarat) by Mundra Special Economic Zone Ltd. over an area of 3740 hectares

The representative of the Developer stated that the land for the SEZ is in possession of two entities and the details are as under:

Developer	Area (Hectares)
Mundra SEZ Ltd.	1081.91
Gujarat Adani Port Ltd.	2658.19

During the meeting, the representative of the Developer indicated that an area of 137.57 hectares held by M/s Adani Chemicals Ltd. indicated in their application has since been merged with Mundra SEZ Ltd.

The Board decided to grant formal approval to two separate SEZs, each of which independently met the minimum area requirement. It was further decided by the Board that the approval would be subject to the land in each of the SEZs being contiguous. The list of authorized activities by the Developer, as earlier approved, was endorsed.

5. Setting up of a Port Based SEZs at Vallarpadam (115 hectares) and Puthuvypen (285 hectares) by Cochin Port Trust.

The representative of the Developer presented the proposals and stated that the land for the SEZs are in their possession and it meet the minimum area requirement. The Board decided to grant formal approval for the SEZs.

6. Setting up of a sector-specific SEZ for Pharmaceuticals at Chippada, Visakhapatnam, A.P. by M/s. Divi's Laboratories Ltd. over an area of 250 acres (101 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

7. Setting up of a sector-specific SEZ for Electronics and IT/ITES at Chandigarh by Chandigarh Administration over an area of 111 acres (45 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

8. Setting up of a sector-specific SEZ for IT/ITES at Hinjawadi, Pune (Maharashtra) by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. over an area of 205 acres (82 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

9. Setting up of a sector-specific SEZ for Electronics Hardware and related services Sriperumbudur, Chennai (Tamil Nadu) M/s. Flextronics Technology (India) Pvt. Ltd. over an area of 250 acres (101 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

10. Setting up of a SEZ for Kolkata (West Bengal) M/s. M.L. Dalmiya and Company Ltd. IT/ITES over an area of 120 acres (48 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

11. Setting up of a SEZ for Kusumagiri, Village Kakkanad, Ernakulam District (Kerala) M/s. Infopark, Kochi IT/ITES over an area of 91.9 acres (37 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

12. Setting up of a SEZ for Bio-technology at Bangalore by M/s. Biocon Ltd. over an area of 90 acres (36 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for a biotech SEZ ought to be 100 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

13. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Krishnarajapuram, Bangalore by M/s. Shyamaraju and Company Private Limited over an area of 74 acres. (30 hectares)

The representative of the Developer stated that 55 acres (22 hectares) of the land is in possession of M/s. Shyamaraju and Company Private Limited and the balance land was owned by M/s. Huawei Technologies which wanted to be a joint developer with M/s. Shyamaraju and Company Private Limited.

The State Government had recommended the proposal.

The proposal was discussed and the Board did not approve of the joint development proposal by two different Developers. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT/ITES SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval to M/s. Shyamaraju and Company Private Limited for a sector-specific SEZ for IT/ITES over an area of 55 acres (22 hectares) of land at Krishnarajapuram, Bangalore.

14. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Chennai by Wipro Ltd. over an area of 80 acres. (32 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

15. Setting up of a Free Trade Warehousing Zone at Kandla by Free Trade Warehousing Zone Pvt. Ltd.

The Board noted that the land for the FTWZ was not in possession of the Developer. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

16. Setting up of a Free Trade Warehousing Zone at Greater Noida by Free Trade Warehousing Zone Pvt. Ltd.

The representative of the State Govt. stated that the land for the FTWZ has not been made available to the Developer. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

17. Setting up of a SEZ for IT at Mohali, Punjab by M/s. Quarkcity India Pvt. Ltd. over an area of 51 acres (20 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

18. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Siruseri, Chennai by M/s. Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. over an area of 28.53 hectares (70.50 acres).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

19. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Pallikarnai, Chennai by M/s. ETL Infrastructure Services Ltd. over an area of 26 acres. (10.5 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

20. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Siruseri, Chennai by M/s. Hexaware Technologies Ltd. over an area of 27.28 acres (11 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

21. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Siruseri, Chennai by M/s. Cognizant Technology Solutions India Pvt. Ltd. over an area 28.8 acres (11 hectares).

The Board noted that certain buildings have already been constructed on the identified land under the STPI Scheme. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal pending an examination of the issue of whether existing structures would be permitted to be included in the SEZ area and, if so, the conditions under which such permission would be given.

22. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Kharadi, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s. EON Kharadi Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. over an area of 45 acres (18 hectares).

The representative of the Government of Maharashtra stated that the proposal had not been received by them. The Board took note and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

23. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Siruseri, Chennai (Tamil Nadu) by M/s. Syntel International Pvt. Ltd. 27.52 acres (11 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

24. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s. DLF Akruti Infopark (Pune) Ltd. 60 acres (24 hectares).

The recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

25. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh) by M/s. DLF Commercial Developers Pvt. Ltd. 26.22 acres (10 hectares).

The recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

26. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Talawade Software Park, Pune (Maharashtra) by M/s. Syntel International Pvt. Ltd. 40 acres (16 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

27. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Noida (U.P) by M/s. HCL Technopark Ltd. 41.78 acres (16 hectares).

The recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

28. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Greater Noida by Wipro Ltd. 50 acres (20 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

29. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Bangalore (Karnataka) by Manyata Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and DSRK Holdings Private Limited 55.20 acres (22 hectares)

The Board noted that the application has been filed jointly by two Developers. It was observed that the application needs to be filed by a single Developer who is the owner of the land. The applicants indicated that the land was owned by Manyata Promoters Pvt. Ltd. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares,, the Board granted formal approval for an IT sector SEZ over an area of 55.2 acres by M/s Manyata Promoters Pvt. Ltd.

30. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Pune by Wipro Ltd. 50 acres (20 hectares)

The recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

31. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Chennai, Tamil Nadu by DLF Info City Developers (Chennai) Ltd. 38.49 acres (15 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

32. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Chennai (Tamil Nadu) by M/s. Xansa India Ltd. 25.50 acres (10 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

33. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Gurgaon (Haryana) by M/s. DLF Cyber City. 67.24 acres (27 hectares)

The recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

34. Setting up of a SEZ for IT/ITES at Trivandrum, Kerala by M/s. Electronics Technology Park. 86 acres (34 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. Thereafter the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to verification of the land being vacant by the Development Commissioner, Cochin SEZ.

35. Setting up of SEZ for Gems and Jewellery at Ishhapor, Surat by Gujarat Hira Bourse - 247 acres (100 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

36. Setting up of SEZ for Apparel at Ahmedabad by Gujarat Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. 95 acres (38 hectares)

The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal as the Developer did not have the minimum prescribed area of land in its possession. The request of the Developer to notify the SEZ in phases was rejected by the Board as possession of minimum prescribed area was required under the SEZ Rules prior to issue of formal notification.

37. Setting up of SEZ for automobiles and components at Adityapur (Jharkhand) by Adityapur Industrial Area Development Authority, 90 acres (36 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 100 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

38. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Bangalore by Hewlett Packard. 18 acres (7 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 25 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

39. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Indore, Madhya Pradesh by Madhya Pradesh State Industrial Development Corporation 21 acres (8 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 25 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

40. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Bangalore, Sarjapur by WIPRO Limited- 16 acres (6 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the

Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 25 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

41. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Hyderabad, A.P. by WIPRO Limited 16 acres (6 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 25 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

42. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Bangalore Electronic City by WIPRO Limited 13 acres (5 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 25 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

43. Setting up of SEZ for Pharma & Bio-tech at Pune, Maharashtra by Serum Institute of India Limited. 53 acres (21 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 100 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

44. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Shastri Park, Delhi by M/s. Delhi Metro Corporation of 15 acres (6 hectares).

The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal since neither the Developer nor the Govt. of Delhi were present.

45. Setting up of SEZ for IT at Faridabad, Haryana by Haryana Technology Park 8.25 acres (3 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 25 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

46. Setting up of SEZ for Pharmaceuticals at Mohali, Punjab by Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. - 80 acres (32 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 100 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

47. Setting up of SEZ for Pharmaceuticals at Ahmedabad by Zydus Finance Ltd. 120 acres - (48 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 100 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

48. Setting up of SEZ for Footwear at Chennai by Consortium of Shoe Manufacture. - 150 acres (60 hectares)

The Developer did not have possession of the land. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

49. Setting up of SEZ for Leather Products at Kolkata (West Bengal) by M/s M.L Dalmiya & Co. Ltd. - 110 acres (44 hectares)

The Board noted the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that the proposal does not meet the minimum area requirement of 100 hectares. The Board noted that this was one of a class of cases which were covered by Rule 5 Sub Rule (3) and Annexure II of the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

50. Setting up of an SEZ for footwear by M/s Apache Investment Holdings Private Limited – 250 acres (101 hectares)

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

(8) The Board next took up consideration of all new proposals that had been received. After taking into consideration various aspects, including the minimum area requirement stipulated in the SEZ rules, the criteria of possession of the land by the developer, the recommendation of the State Government, and other criteria prescribed in the SEZ Act/Rules, the decisions of the Board on the proposals are as under:

Multi-Product SEZs

1. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s Reliance Industries Ltd. – 10,000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

2. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ at Vasai, Distt. Thane, Mumbai, Maharashtra by Dewan Investments Pvt. Ltd – 1011 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

3. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ at Karla, Near Lonavala, Maharashtra Mahindra Realty Ltd. (a subsidiary of Mahindra Gesco Developers Ltd.) – 1000 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

4. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ at Additional Sinnar Nashik District, Maharashtra by MIDC – 1010 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

5. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ at Nandgaon Peth, Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra by MIDC – 1010 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

6. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ Product at Village Gulani, District Pune, Maharashtra by Bharat Forge Ltd - 2000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

7. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ / Multi-services at Panvel, District Raigad, Maharashtra by Marathon Realty Ltd – 1100 hectares.

The representative of the Government of Maharashtra stated that the proposal was under examination. The Board took note of this and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

8. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Sonapat-Kundli, Haryana by Unitech Haryana SEZ Ltd – 4000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

9. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Ambala, Haryana by DLF Universal Limited – 1012 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

10. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Gurgaon, Haryana by DLF Universal Limited – 8097 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

11. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Ludhiana, Punjab by DLF Universal Ltd. - 1011 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

12. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Panchkula, Haryana by DLF Universal Ltd – 1012 hectares.

The proposal was not recommended by the State Government on account of non-availability of land and environmental concerns. The Board, therefore, decided to reject the proposal.

13. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Hindupur near Devanhalli, A.P. by DLF Universal Limited – 1012 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was not in possession of the Developer and the recommendation of the State Government was still awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

14. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Kangra, Himachal Pradesh by D S Constructions Ltd. – 1000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

15. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Purva, Bhadoi, U.P. by Purva Special Economic Zone – 3287 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

16. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Palwal, Haryana by D.S. Constructions Ltd – 5000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

17. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Gwalior, M.P. by Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation (Gwalior, M.P.) Ltd – 1000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

18. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Palwal, Faridabad by Business Park Town Planners Limited – 1011 hectares.

The Developer had not filed the application in the prescribed Form A. Further, the Board noted that the land was not in possession of the Developer and the recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

19. Setting up of Multi-Product SEZ at Gorai-Manori Region, Mumbai, Maharashtra by Pan India Paryatan Ltd – 1000 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

20. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Dharuhera-Rewari Belt, Haryana by Raheja Harayana SEZ Developers Pvt. Ltd -2000 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

21. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ Village Dholera, Taluk: Dhandhuka, District Ahmedabad, Gujarat by Adani Exports Ltd -

The Board noted that the land was not in possession of the Developer and the recommendation of the State Government was awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

22. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Choryasi, Distt, Hazira, Gujarat by Essar Hazira SEZ – 1100 hectares.

It was reported that there are already existing units in the proposed location. The representative of the Government of Gujarat indicated that there would not be further availability of land in the proposed site. The Board decided to defer the proposal for a multi-product SEZ and call the promoter for a presentation on the proposal.

23. Setting up of a Multi-Product SEZ at Jamnagar, Gujarat by Essar Jamnagar SEZ Ltd – 2470 hectares

The State Government sought time to examine the proposal. The Developer stated that they are in possession of over 1000 hectares of vacant land. Keeping in view the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval subject to the State Governments recommendation being received within 60 days.

Non-conventional Energy SEZs

24. Setting up of a sector-specific SEZ for Non-Conventional Energy including Solar Energy equipment / Cell at Greater Noida, UP by Moser Baer India Ltd – 11.9 hectares.

The Board noted that land was in the possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for a non-conventional energy including solar energy equipment/cell SEZ ought to be 100 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the recommendation of the State Government being received within 60 days of the grant of approval.

GEM & JEWELLERY SEZs

25. Setting up of SEZ for Gems and Jewellery at Kancha Imarat, Shamsabad, Hyderabad by Hyderabad Gems SEZ Ltd. – 80.93 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum

area for a gems and jewellery sector SEZ ought to be 100 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

26. Setting up of SEZ for Gems and Jewellery at Raipur, Chhattisgarh by Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. – 29.00 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for a gems and jewellery sector SEZ ought to be 100 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

BIOTECHNOLOGY SEZs

27. Setting up of SEZ for Bio-Technology at Jalna Industrial Area, District-Jalna, Maharashtra by MIDC – 40.33 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for a biotech SEZ ought to be 100 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

28. Setting up of SEZ for Bio-Technology at Hebbal Industrial Area, Mysore, Karnataka by Jubliant Organosys Ltd.- 10.11 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for a biotech SEZ ought to be 100 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

29. Setting up of SEZ for Bio-Technology at Kolthur Village, Sameerpet Mandal, Ranga Reddy Distt, Andhra Pradesh. by Biological E. Ltd. – 28.83 hectares.

The Board noted that certain buildings have already been constructed on the identified land under the EOU Scheme. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal pending an examination of the issue of whether existing structures would be permitted to be included in the SEZ area and, if so, the conditions under which such permission would be given.

FTWZs**30. Setting up of SEZ for FTWZ at Amritsar, Punjab by DLF Universal Limited – 40 hectares.**

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

31. Setting up of SEZ for FTWZ at Mumbai by Free Trade Warehousing Private Limited. – 100 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

32. Setting up of SEZ for FTWZ at Mahindra City SEZ, Chennai by M/s. IDA, Amsterdam, Netherlands – 40,000 sq metres.

The proposal was for setting up of an FTWZ for pharmaceutical products in the Mahindra SEZ which is a sector-specific SEZ for auto-components. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

OTHER SECTOR SPECIFIC SEZs**33. Setting up of SEZ for Textile at Kagal-Hatkanagale, District-Kohlapur, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 104 hectares**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

34. Setting up of SEZ for Pharmaceuticals at Krushnoor Industrial Area, Dist. Nanded, Maharashtra by MIDC – 150 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

35. Setting up of SEZ for Agro sector at Latur Industrial Area, District-Latur, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 200 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

36. Setting up of SEZ for Automobiles Industry at Shendre, Aurangabad Distt, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 210 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

37. Setting up of SEZ for Textile at Butibori Industrial Area, District- Nagpur, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 383 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

38. Setting up of SEZ for Bio-technology and Pharma at Shendre Industrial Area, Dist. Aurangabad, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 107 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval for pharmaceuticals sector.

39. Setting up of SEZ for Captive Power Generation Industry at Usar, Raigarh Distt, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 103 hectares

The Board noted that processing power supply can be part of a support service to an SEZ. The issue of captive power generation being an SEZ activity by itself has not been settled. The Board decided to examine this matter and to defer consideration of the proposal and obtain comments of the Ministry of Power.

40. Setting up of SEZ for Power and Power related Projects at Bhadravati Chandrapur District, Maharashtra by Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) – 1100 hectares.

The Board noted that processing power supply can be part of a support service to an SEZ. The issue of captive power generation being an SEZ activity by itself has not been settled. The Board decided to examine this matter and to defer consideration of the proposal and obtain comments of the Ministry of Power.

41. Setting up of SEZ for Ship building, ship repairs and ancillary industry at Vijaydurg, Sindhudurg, Maharashtra by Vijaydurg Shipyards Private Ltd – 101 hectares

The Board noted that the land was not in the possession of the Developer and the recommendation of the State Government was still awaited. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

42. Setting up of SEZ for Textiles, Garments, Apparels & Accessories at Chhata, District- Mathura, UP by Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Pvt. Ltd – 100 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

43. Setting up of SEZ for Drugs and Pharmaceuticals and Bio-tech at Chhata, Dist. Mathura, U.P. by Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (India) Pvt. Ltd – 100 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval for pharmaceuticals sector.

44. Setting up of SEZ for Pharmaceutical and Chemicals at Gujarat by M/s. Jubliant Organosys Ltd.- 160 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval pharmaceuticals sector.

45. Setting up of SEZ for Flat Steel Product at Village Bhimasar, Taluk Anjar, Distt. Bhuj, Gujarat by Indian Steel Corporation Ltd.- 101 hectares.

The Board noted that an existing unit was functioning at the proposed site. The Board advised the Developer to file a fresh application indicating clear, vacant and contiguous land of 100 hectares or more, which could be considered by the Board.

46. Setting up of SEZ for Textiles at Amritsar, Punjab by DLF Universal Limited – 160 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

47. Setting up of SEZ for Engineering at Amritsar, Punjab by DLF Universal Limited – 140 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

48. Setting up of SEZ for Food Processing at Amritsar, Punjab by DLF Universal Limited – 100 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

49. Setting up of SEZ for Apparels and Fashion Accessories at Shanamangala village, Ramanagar, Bangalore, Karnataka by Millet Infrastrcuture Pvt. Ltd.- 100 hectares

The Board decided to seek comments from the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Department of Revenue, on a complaint against the Developer that had been received. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

50. Setting up of SEZ for Industrial Machinery & Ancillaries at Village Rajoda, District Ahmedabad, Gujarat by N.G. Realty Pvt. Ltd.- 127 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

51. Setting up of SEZ for Automobile and Automobile components at Waluj, Aurangabad, Maharashtra by Bajaj Auto Limited- 100 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

52. Setting up of SEZ for Pharmaceuticals at Villages Jagannadhapuram and Lemarathi, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh by Ramky Pharma city (India) Limited – 243 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

53. Setting up of SEZ for Apparel and Textile at Kaggalahalli Village, Bangalore by Gokuldas Exports Apparel and Textile Park Pvt. Ltd. – 141 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

54. Setting up of SEZ for Textile and Garments at Dholpur, Rajasthan by Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as a final view on the proposal was yet to be taken by the State Government. Hence, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

55. Setting up of SEZ for Auto Components and ancillary at Dholpur, Rajasthan by Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as a final view on the proposal was yet to be taken by the State Government. Hence, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

56. Setting up of SEZ for Engineering and Equipments at Dholpur, Rajasthan by Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as a final view on the proposal was yet to be taken by the State Government. Hence, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

57. Setting up of SEZ for Leather Goods at Dholpur, Rajasthan by Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as a final view on the proposal was yet to be taken by the State Government. Hence, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

58. Setting up of SEZ for Handicrafts at Dholpur, Rajasthan by Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as a final view on the proposal was yet to be taken by the State Government. Hence, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

59. Setting up of SEZ for Shoes and Shoe uppers at Dholpur, Rajasthan by Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as a final view on the proposal was yet to be taken by the State Government. Hence, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

60. Setting up of SEZ for Textiles at Thane-Bhiwandi Road, Maharashtra by M/s. Arihant Techno Economic Park Pvt. Ltd. – 107 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

61. Setting up of SEZ for Flat Steel at Village Sejwaya, Distt. Dhar, M.P. by M/s. Ruchi Strips and Alloys Ltd.

The Board noted that an existing unit was functioning at the proposed site and excluding the area occupied by the unit would reduce the area to less than the minimum prescribed viz. 100 hectares. The Board advised the Developer to make a fresh proposal with clear, vacant and contiguous land of 100 hectares or more, which could be considered by the Board.

62. Setting up of SEZ for Pharmaceuticals at Bahadurpalli Village, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh by Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd.-

The proposal was for an area of 59 hectares. The Developer was advised to submit a fresh proposal to the Board with a proposed area not less than 100 hectares of vacant and contiguous land.

63. Setting up of SEZ for Plastic Processing at Taluk Roha, Raigad, Maharashtra by Supreme Petrochem Ltd.- 100 hectares

Since the recommendation of the State Government was awaited, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

64. Setting up of SEZ for Builders Hardware / Brassware at Village Bhartari, near Aligarh, UP by Aligarh Industrial Park Pvt. Ltd.- 132 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

IT SECTOR SEZs

65. Setting up of SEZ for IT / ITES to be expanded to Multi- Product /at Jaipur by M/s. Mahindra World City (Jaipur) Ltd. (A subsidiary of Mahindra Gesco) – 49 hectares for IT to be expanded to a multi-product SEZ over an area of 1000 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was in possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval to the IT sector SEZ and in-principle approval for the expansion of the same to a multi-product SEZ.

66. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Rajiv Gandhi Infotech Park, Hinjewadi Phase-III, Pune by MIDC – 229.30 hectares

The Board noted that the land was in possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

67. Setting up of SEZ for Multi-services at Rathiwas, Bhodakalan & Bhudka Villages, Gurgaon by M/s. Uppal Housing Ltd.- 108.86 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. The land is in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government, and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act, 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

68. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Fazilpur & Behrampur Villages, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s. Vipul Ltd. – 60 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

69. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Madhapur, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh by M/s. K. Raheja IT Park (Hyderabad) Pvt. Ltd.- 36.62 hectares

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. It was explained by the Developer that there are functioning structures on some of the land and only 30.52 acres was vacant and contiguous land. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval, in respect of vacant land in possession of the Developer. (30.52 acres / 12 hectares).

70. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Tech Zone, Greater Noida by M/s. Ansal IT City and Parks Ltd.- 30.41 hectares

The Board noted that the land was in possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

71. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Sikohpur, Tehsil Sohna, Distt. Gurgaon by M/s. Luxor Cyber City Pvt. Ltd. – 28 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. The land is in the possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

72. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Devarabeesanahalli & Kariyammana Agrahara Villages of Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk by Vikas Telecom Limited. - 36 hectares

The Board noted that the land was in possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

73. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Madhurawada, Visakhapatnam, A.P. by Information Technology and Communications Department, Government of A.P. and M/s. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd.- 16 hectares

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

74. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Nanakramguda Ranga Reddy District, A.P. by Information Technology and Communications Department, Government of A.P. and M/s. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd.- 10 hectares

The proposal was withdrawn by the State Government.

75. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Bandhwari, Gurgaon, Haryana by M/s. Orion Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. 130 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

76. Setting up of SEZ for Electronics, IT/ITES at Gahunje, Taluka Haveli, District Pune by City Parks Pvt. Ltd.- 30 hectares.

Since the recommendation of the State Government was awaited, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

77. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Gurgaon, Haryana by Roseview Promoters Pvt. Ltd.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

78. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Shamshabad, Hyderabad by M/s DLF Universal Limited – 101 hectares.

The proposal was rejected as the State Government stated that they would not be able to provide land in the area proposed.

79. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Gurgaon by M/s Vision Infra Built Ltd., - 80 hectares.

The proposal was withdrawn by the promoters.

80. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Plot No.1, Knowledge Park-III, Greater Noida, UP by M/s ST Microelectronics Private Limited – 10.12 hectares.

It was noted by the Board that an existing functioning commercial building existed in the area of the proposed SEZ. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal pending receipt of a fresh proposal from the Developers in respect of a vacant and contiguous plot of land meeting the minimum area requirement.

81. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Bellandur Village, Varthur, Hobli, Bangalore by M/s Primal Projects Private Limited – 12.33 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the

Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

82. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Devanahalli village, Bangalore, Karnataka by Mfar Holdings Private Ltd – 12.14 hectares.

Since the recommendation of the State Government was awaited, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

83. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Taj Express Highway, Noida by M/s Ripple Infrastructure Private Ltd. – 10.11 hectares.

Since the land was not in the possession of the Developer and the proposal had not yet been recommended by the State Government, the Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

84. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Thotlakonda, Visakhapatnam by M/s Satyam Computer Services Ltd. – 20.23 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

85. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Bahadurpally, Hyderabad by M/s Satyam Computer Services Ltd. – 10.52 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

86. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Hi-tec City, Hyderabad by M/s Satyam Computer Services Ltd. – 12.14 hectares.

The Board noted that the land was in the possession of the Developer. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

87. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Yerawada village, Haveli Taluka, Pune District, Maharashtra by M/s K Raheja Corporation Private Ltd. – 10.40 hectares.

It was noted by the Board that the Developer did not have ownership or leasehold rights over the land. The Developer was advised to submit a fresh proposal after assignment of leasehold rights to the Developer company.

88. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Ardee City, Gurgaon by M/s Sunwise Properties Private Ltd., 10.12 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. The land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

89. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Sector 135, Noida, UP by M/s Seaview Developers Ltd. – 12.15 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. The land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

90. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Jaipur, Rajasthan by M/s Vatika Jaipur SEZ Developers Ltd. – 20.23 hectares.

The Board noted that the land is in possession of the Developer under lease agreements. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

91. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Madhupur, Hyderabad by M/s AP Techno Projects Private Ltd., - 10 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

92 Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Nanakramguda Village, Ranga Reddy District, A.P. by M/s CA Computer Associates India Pvt. Ltd. – 12.14 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the

Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

93. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Noida, U.P. by M/s IT Infra Services Private Ltd., - 10 hectares.

The representative of the Government of Uttar Pradesh stated that the proposal was under examination and sought deferment. The Board took note of this and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

94. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra by M/s. K. Raheja Universal Pvt. Ltd. – 20.64 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval subject to clearance of the lease of land to the Developer by MIDC.

95. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Bamnoli, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi by M/s. Sweet Home Estate Pvt. Ltd.

The State Government did not send any recommendation on the proposal and neither was it represented in the Board. The Board therefore decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

96. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Whitefield, Bangalore by San Engineering and Locomotive Company Limited.

The representative of the Government of Karnataka stated that the proposal was under examination and sought deferment. The Board took note of this and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

97. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Madhurawada, Visakhapatnam, A.P. by Information Technology and Communications Department, Government of A.P. and M/s. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. – 91.08 acres (36 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

98. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Kesarapally Village, Krishna District, A.P. by Information Technology and Communications Department, Government of A.P. and M/s. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. – 30.6 acres (12 hectares).

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the

Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

99. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Powai, Mumbai by Hiranandani Builders – 12.57 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

100. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Bonsari, Kukshet, and Shiravane, Taluka Thane, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra by K. Raheja Universal Pvt. Ltd. - 13 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval subject to clearance of the lease of land to the Developer by MIDC.

101. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Greater Noida by M/s. Xansa (India) Limited – 10 hectares.

The representative of the Government of Uttar Pradesh stated that the proposal was under examination. The Board took note of this and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

102. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Talwade Software Park by M/s. Xansa (India) Limited- 10 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the Developer was in possession of only 16 acres out of the proposed area of 10 hectares. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

103. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Village Varthur Hobli, Karnataka by M/s. Adarsh Prime Projects Pvt. Ltd. – 24.51 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum

area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

104. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Bangalore, Karnataka by M/s. Shell India Pvt. Ltd. – 10 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

105. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Akkalalenahalli and Mallenahalli village, Kasba Hobli, Bangalore Rural Distt by M/s. Concord investments – 13.44 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

106. Setting up of SEZ for IT Park at Indore, M.P by M/s. Mediacaps IT Park Pvt. Ltd. 12.25 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

107. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES/ BPO at Nagavara Village Bangalore North Taluk by M/s. Karle Infrastructure Projects – 11.25 hectares.

The representative of the Government of Karnataka stated that the proposal was under examination. The Board took note of this and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

108. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Greater Noida by M/s. Pavitra Dham Constructions (P) Ltd – 22.25 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, keeping in view the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ

Act 2005, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval subject to the State Governments recommendation being received within 60 days.

109. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Nanakramguda Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh by Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. – 20.53 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

110. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Bantwal Taluk, Dakshina Kannada Distt., Karnataka by M/s. B.A. Tech Park Pvt. Ltd. – 12 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, keeping in view the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval subject to the State Governments recommendation being received within 60 days.

111. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Dholpur, Rajasthan by M/s. Rajasthan Explosives & Chemicals Ltd.

The representative of the State Government sought deferment of the proposal as the State Government was yet to take a view on the proposal. The Board decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

(9) The Board then took up new proposals for setting up of SEZs in the States of Kerala, West Bengal and Tamil Nadu. Since elections to the Legislative Assemblies of these States had been announced, the Board decided that in respect of these proposals the decision of the Board would be recorded in the minutes but the same would be sent for the approval of the Central Government only after the election process was completed. The proposals discussed in this category and the decisions thereupon are reflected in a separate confidential portion.

OTHER PROPOSALS

1. Approval for appointing Mahindra Gesco as co-developer for development of authorized operations (social infrastructure) in the non-processing areas in the three SEZs of Mahindra City SEZ.

The proposal was approved by the Board.

2. Approval of authorized operations in respect of SEZ at Jamnagar by M/s Reliance Infrastructure Ltd.

Authorized operations, as given in **Annexure-III**, was approved by the Board.

3. Approval of authorized operation in respect of SEZ at Indore by M/s M.P.Audyogik Kendra Vikas Nigam (Indore) Ltd.

Authorized operations, as given in **Annexure IV**, was approved by the Board.

4. Request from M/s. Patni Computers for consideration of their deferred proposal for setting up of an SEZ for IT/ITES at Airoli, Navi Mumbai over an area of 25.12 acres

After consideration, the Board decided to defer the proposal for detailed examination of various issues involved.

5. Request from M/s. Nokia for deleting the requirement of additional area of land prescribed in the SEZ area notification issued by the Deptt of Revenue, etc.

The Board noted that for IT/ITES SEZ, the minimum area requirement is met and, therefore, the condition stipulated in the DOR notification of 7th December, 2005 would no longer be applicable and would be deleted. As regards refund of duty, it was noted that there was no such provision in the SEZ framework. However, the developer may like to move the office of the DGFT for appropriate consideration of their request for grant of deemed export benefit.

6. Proposal for setting up of a unit in Madras SEZ for manufacture and export of cigarettes by M/s. Bommidala Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.

The Board decided to defer the proposal for detailed examination.

7. Proposals of Infopark, Cochin for approval of L&T Tech Park Ltd. as co-developer.

The proposal was approved by the Board.

8. Approval for (i) extension of area of existing Surat SEZ and (ii) approval for authorized operation.

The extension of the existing area of SEZ was approved subject to the developer furnishing proof of possession of land and other details as required under the SEZ Rules.

Authorized operations, as given in **Annexure V**, was approved by the Board.

9. Proposal seeking approval of (i) Mundra SEZ Integrated Textiles and Apparel Park as co-developer of Mundra SEZ. (ii) Adani Power Private Limited as co-developer of Mundra SEZ.

The proposals were approved by the Board.

10. Request from Govt. of Karnataka for grant of extension for the in-principal approval granted to Kanara Chamber of Commerce and Industry for setting up of an SEZ at Bakkampadi(Mangalore) beyond 28.2.2006.

The Board advised the State Government representative to inform the promoters of the SEZ to apply afresh as per SEZ Rules, since the extended validity of the approval has already expired and fresh approval was being sought by a new entity.

The Meeting ended with Vote of Thanks.

CONFIDENTIAL

**DECISIONS OF THE BOARD OF APPROVAL ON
SEZ PROPOSALS FROM STATES OF
KERALA, WEST BENGAL AND TAMIL NADU WHERE ELECTION PROCESS IS ON**

1. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Old Mahabalipuram Road, Kanchipuram District, Chennai by Satyam Computer Services Ltd. 20 hectares.

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

2. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Kusumagiri, Kakkanad, Ernakulam District, Kerala by Smart City (Kochi) Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. 404 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

3. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Sholinganallur village, Tambaram Taluk, Chennai, Tamil Nadu by Electronics Corporation of Tamil Nadu Ltd. 159.04 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

4. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Vilankurichi, Coimbatore North Taluk, Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu by Electronics Corporation of Tamil Nadu Ltd. 11.76 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

5. Setting up of SEZ for Textiles at Irungattukkotai, Sriperumbudur, Chennai by Apparels and Handloom Exporters Association. 49 hectares

The Board noted that the proposed area of the SEZ was less than the minimum prescribed area of 100 hectares. The proposal was accordingly rejected.

6. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Thoraipakkam, Chennai by M/s. Alliance Business Parks Pvt. Ltd. 10.11 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the

Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

7. Setting up of SEZ for Textiles at Uthukuli Village, Erode Distt., Tamil Nadu by M/s. ETL Infrastructure Services Ltd. 101.62 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

8. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Rajarath, Kolkata by DLF Info City Developers (Kolkata) Ltd. 10.12 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, the Board decided to grant formal approval.

9. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Sriperumbudur, Tamil Nadu by Velankanni Information System Pvt. Ltd. 100 hectares

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. Keeping in view the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant in-principle approval subject to the State Governments recommendation being received within 60 days.

10. Setting up of SEZ for services at Chenglepet, Kanchipuram District, Tamil Nadu by ETL Infrastructure Services Ltd. 105 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Board decided to grant formal approval.

11. Setting up of SEZ for Electronic Hardware and Software at Mouza-Purusattambati, Hooghly District, West Bengal by Xenitis Infotech Pvt. Ltd. 12.14 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.

12. Setting up of SEZ for Electronic Hardware and Software at Mouza-Banagram, District 24, Paragana (South) West Bengal by Oval Developers Private Limited. 12.14 hectares

The representative of the Government of West Bengal stated that the proposal was under examination and sought deferment. The Board took note of this and decided to defer consideration of the proposal.

13. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Sriperumbudur near Chennai by Shriram Properties and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. 10 hectares

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had recommended the proposal. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, keeping in view the in-principle recommendation of the State Government and the provisions of Section 3(3) of the SEZ Act 2005, the Board decided to grant formal approval subject to the State Governments formal recommendation being received within 60 days.

14. Setting up of SEZ for IT/ITES at Kalamassery, Kochi, Kerala by Sutterland Global Services Pvt. Ltd. 10 hectares.

The Board noted that the proposal met the minimum area requirement. However, the land was not in possession of the Developer. The Member representing the Department of Revenue objected on the ground that, in their perception, the minimum area for an IT SEZ ought to be 25 hectares. Since the Statutory Rules provided a minimum area of 10 hectares, and keeping in view the recommendation of the State Government the Board decided to grant in-principle approval.
