

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ Board of Approval held on 1<sup>st</sup> May, at 10.30 A.M., to consider proposals for setting up of Special Economic Zones

The twenty fourth meeting of the SEZ Board of Approval was held on 01.04.2008 at 10.30 A.M. under the Chairmanship of Shri Gopal K. Pillai, Secretary, Department of Commerce in Room No. 108, Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi to consider proposals for setting up of Special Economic Zones and other miscellaneous requests in respect of notified/approved SEZs. A list of participants is enclosed (**Appendix**).

2. Addressing the Board of Approval members, the Chairman informed that out of the 453 formal approvals granted for setting up of SEZs, 214 SEZs have been notified as on date. He stated that the total investment of Rs. 67,737 crores has been made in the notified SEZs as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2008. Chairman also informed that total incremental employment generated in SEZs after the enactment of SEZ Act and Rules is 1.76 lakhs persons. He further apprised that **physical exports from the SEZs in 2007-08 were Rs. 64,623 crores, which indicates a growth of 87% over the year 2007-08. The export projection for 2008-09 is Rs. 1,24,683 crores.**

3. **Commerce Secretary apprised the members of the Board of Approval that as per the decision taken in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 25<sup>th</sup> February 2008 regarding SEZs in Goa, show cause notices were issued in cases of formally approved SEZs. He further apprised that in respect of three notified SEZs, the developers and officers of Goa State Government were called for discussion on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2008. During the discussion, the Chief Secretary of Goa expressed the view that Government of Goa is firm on its stand that the SEZ should not be allowed to be set up in view of undue strain on the State's resources such as power, water, infrastructure without commensurate benefits and in view of anticipated large scale migration of people into Goa.**

4. **Commerce Secretary further informed that** two of the three developers of the notified SEZs i.e. M/s Meditab Specialities Private Limited and M/s K. Raheja Corp Pvt. Ltd. have separately filed writ petitions in the High Court of Bombay, against the order of the State Government dated 10.01.2008 directing them to stop work. In its hearing of the WP case filed by M/s Meditab Specialities Private Limited the following order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 2008 was passed.

- i. After passing of the Order dated 10.1.2008, the petitioners admittedly, have filed a representation before the concerned authorities, including the Central Government.*
- ii. In the order dated 10.1.08, the Secretary (Industries), Goa has also directed the petitioners not to take up further work on the ground, in pursuance of the approval accorded by the Board of Approval, and the notification issued by the Government of India till the matter is finally resolved in consultation with the Government of India. It is obvious that the State Government, in consultation with the Government of India, is required to take a final decision, as well as dispose of the representation filed by the petitioners. It is expected of the authorities concerned to act expeditiously and not to put the petitioners to undue hardships.*

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

- iii. *In view of the above observations, we dispose of this petition with direction to the Central Government and the State Government to dispose of the matter and take a final view within six weeks from today. Liberty to the petitioners to approach the Court in the event of any adverse orders passed against them. No order as to costs.*

After detailed deliberations, the following decisions were taken by the BoA.

- a) In case of formally approved SEZs, the Developers concerned would be called for personal hearing in the meeting of the Board of Approval scheduled to be held on 4<sup>th</sup> June 2008.
- b) In case of three notified SEZs, it won't be possible to withdraw the notifications issued as large investments have already been made/committed in these and issue of compensation to Developers and refund of tax benefits claimed would also arise. This may be communicated to the Government of Goa in response to their letter dated 07.01.08. The Government of Goa may take further appropriate action at their end, keeping in view the directions of the Hon'ble High Court.

### (A) Decision for Formal Approvals:

#### **1. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Aerospace and Precision Engineering Industries at Survey No. 656A, 656 AA, Aditya Nagar, Adhibatla Village, Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh by Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (APIIC) – 101.17 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 2):**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government also recommended the proposal. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant **formal approval** for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Aerospace and Precision Engineering Industries at Survey No. 656A, 656AA, Aditya Nagar, Adhibatla Village, Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh by Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited over an area of 101.17 hectares.

#### **2. Request for setting up of a Multi product Special Economic Zone at Naidupet Mandal, Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh by Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (APIIC) – 1032 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 3):**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had also recommended the proposal. The representative of Ministry of Agriculture suggested that every proposal should have a specific indication of the type of land on which the SEZ is proposed to be established i.e. whether the land is barren, single crop or double crop. Replying to this, the Chairman informed that suitable amendments to the SEZ Rules are being proposed to give effect to this suggestion. In this connection, the

## **Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08**

representative of the State Government informed that the land on which this SEZ is proposed to be set up is barren land and has not been cultivated for the last 5 years. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a multi product Special Economic Zone at Naidupet Mandal, Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh by Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (APIIC) over an area of 1032 hectares.

### **3. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Tadakanapalli (V), Rural Kurnool, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh by Raagamayuri Builders Private Limited – 12.15 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 4):**

The representative of the State Government confirmed that the land is in possession of the developer. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Tadakanapalli (V), Rural Kurnool, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh by Raagamayuri Builders Private Limited over an area of 12.15 hectares.

### **4. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Plot No. 2, Sector 140-A, Noida Uttar Pradesh by Max-Digi Infotech Private Limited – 10 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 6):**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had also recommended the proposal. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Plot No. 2, Sector 140-A, Noida, Uttar Pradesh by Max-Digi Infotech Private Limited over an area of 10.08 hectares.

### **5. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Plot No. 5, Sector-144, Noida Uttar Pradesh by IVR Prime IT SEZ Private Limited– 10 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 7):**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had also recommended the proposal. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Plot No. 5, Sector 144-A, Noida, Uttar Pradesh by IVR Prime IT SEZ Private Limited over an area of 10 hectares.

### **6. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT sector at Durgapur, Bidhannagar Township (adjacent of STP of India), West Bengal by Bengal Shapoorji Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd. – 10 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 8):**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had also recommended the proposal. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT sector at Durgapur, Bidhannagar Township (adjacent of STP of India), West Bengal by Bengal Shapoorji Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd. over an area of 10 hectares.

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

### **8. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Rajiv Gandhi Infotech Park, Hinjawadi, Ph-II, Pune, Maharashtra by DLF Akruti Info Parks (Pune) Ltd. – 11.83 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 14):**

The Board noted that the proposal was deferred in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 20th March 2008, on the request of State Government. The Board also noted that the State Government has now recommended the proposal. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Rajiv Gandhi Infotech Park, Hinjawadi, Ph-II, Pune, Maharashtra by DLF Akruti Info Parks (Pune) Ltd. over an area of 11.83 hectares. It was also decided to appropriately reduce the area formally approved vide the earlier Letter of Approval, which was issued for 24 hectares.

### **9. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Sector 143A, Noida, Uttar Pradesh by DLF Commercial Developers Limited – 10.0256 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 18):**

The Board noted that the Developer was in possession of the land. The State Government had also recommended the proposal. Accordingly, the Board decided to grant formal approval for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Sector 143A, Noida, Uttar Pradesh by DLF Commercial Developers Ltd. over an area of 10.0256 hectares.

### **(B) Decision for Deferment:**

#### **1. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Airport based SEZ sector at BIAL Airport, Devenahalli, Bangalore, Karnataka by Bangalore International Airport Ltd – 113 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 1):**

The representatives of the Department of Revenue desired to know in detail the kind of activities to be carried inside the SEZ. They opined that no airport based activity should be allowed inside the SEZ area. The Board decided to **defer** the proposal with a request to the Developer to make a detailed presentation on the proposal in the next meeting of the Board of Approval.

#### **2. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Plot No. 8, sector 144 New Okhala Industrial Area, Noida, Uttar Pradesh by Golden Tower Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – 10 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 5):**

The Board noted that the State Government has recommended the proposal for in-principle approval. Since the land is not in possession of the developer and in-principle approval is not granted for setting up of IT/ITES SEZs, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

#### **3. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Electronic Hardware and Software including IT/ITES sector at Village Padghavali, Taluka Sudhagad, Dist. Raigad, Maharashtra by Rameshwar Vaibhav Development Pvt. Ltd. – 17.227 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 9):**

## **Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08**

The Board noted that the land is in the name of a director of the company. Since the land was not in ownership and possession of the Developer company, the Board decided to defer the proposal.

### **4. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Biotechnology sector at A.P. Pathardi, Taluka-Chiplun, Dist. Ratnagiri, Maharashtra by Yashprabha Enterprises – 19.35 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 10):**

The Board noted that the State Government has recommended the proposal for in-principle approval only. MHA has also not given security clearance. Since the land is not in possession of the developer and no in-principle approval is granted for setting up of the Biotechnology SEZs, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

### **5. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Electronic Hardware and Software including IT/ITES sector at Survey No. 17-19 (Part), Mouje Punawale Village, Taluka Mulshi, District Pune, Maharashtra by Bechmark Realty Private Limited – 10 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 11):**

The Board noted that the developer was not in clear ownership and possession of minimum required land for setting up of IT/ITES SEZ. Clarity was also needed on the issue of 0.82 hectare of land to be used by the municipal corporation for road i.e. whether it broke the contiguity or not. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

### **6. Request for setting up of a multi product Special Economic Zone at Kurakalapatnam Village, Anatapur, District, Andhra Pradesh by Limitless Properties Limited – 1000 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 12):**

The Board noted that the proposal was deferred in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2008, as there was a complaint from a Dubai based company regarding infringement of name and logo. The Board further noted that an intimation has been received from the Dubai Based Company that the Developer has now agreed to drop the name 'Limitless'. A reference has also been made to Law Department. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal with the direction that the developer may apply afresh after changing the name.

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

7. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT sector at Village Gangehara, P.O. Bharchha 232101, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh by Proto Infosys Limited – 11.69 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 15):

The Board noted that the State Government has recommended the proposal for in-principle approval. Since the land is not in possession of the developer and no in-principle approval is granted for setting up of the IT/ITES SEZs, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

8. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for Biotech sector at Lucknow Sultanpur Road, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh by Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Ltd. – 23.94 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 16):

The Board noted that land is in the possession and ownership of Group Companies. Since the land was not in possession and ownership of the Developer Company, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

9. Request for setting up of a sector specific Special Economic Zone for IT/ITES sector at Lucknow Sultanpur Road, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh by Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Ltd. – 12.92 hectares (Item No. 1 –Sl. No. 17):

The Board noted that land is in the possession and ownership of Group Companies. Since the land was not in possession and ownership of the Developer Company, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

**10. Request for conversion of in-principle to formal approval for sector specific Special Economic Zone for multi services at Gorai-Manori-Uttan region, Mumbai, Maharashtra by Essel Infraprojects Ltd (formerly Pan India Prayatan Ltd.)– 358.4 hectares (Item No. 2 –Sl. No. 1):**

The developer made a brief presentation on the proposal. The Board noted that more clarity on the proposal was required regarding contiguity, possession of land with the developer and type of activities in the processing / non-processing area of the SEZ. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal with the request to the Developer to make a detailed presentation on the proposal in the next meeting of the Board of Approval.

### **Item No. 3: Requests for co-developer**

(i) Request of M/s. Parsley Developers Private Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Kolkata, West Bengal, proposed to be developed by M/s. Unitech Hitech Structures Private Limited

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

As the State Government sought deferment of the proposal, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

**(ii) Request of M/s. Hind Terminals (Mundra) Private Limited for co-developer in the multi product SEZ at Mundra, Kutch, Gujarat proposed to be developed by M/s. Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Limited**

Representative of DoR observed that the issue of the existing Mundra port being part of SEZ is placed before the Empowered Group of Ministers (EGoM) for appropriate decision. Accordingly, the Board decided to defer the proposal.

**(iii) Request of M/s. Empezar Logistics Private Limited for co-developer in the multi product SEZ at Mundra, Kutch, Gujarat proposed to be developed by M/s. Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Limited**

Representative of DoR observed that the issue of the existing Mundra port being part of SEZ is placed before the Empowered Group of Ministers (EGoM) for appropriate decision. Accordingly, the Board decided to defer the proposal.

**(iv) Request of M/s. Madgul Infrastructure (P) Ltd. for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Bantala, Gangapur, West Bengal proposed to be developed by M/s. M.L. Dalmiya and Company Limited**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant **approval** to the request of M/s. Madgul Infrastructure (P) Ltd. for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Bantala, Gangapur, West Bengal proposed to be developed by M/s. M.L. Dalmiya and Company Limited.

**(v) Request of M/s. Tata Consultancy Services Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, proposed to be developed by Electronics Technology Park - Kerala**

The representative of DoR observed that as per the co-developer agreement, the co-developer proposes to set up a unit in the SEZ but wants to claim the status of co-developer. Further, the lease deed provides for an option of outright purchase of land on the expiry of lease agreement which is not permitted as per the SEZ Rules. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal with the direction that a revised agreement with clarifications be submitted for further consideration of the proposal.

**(vi) Request of M/s. IBS Software Services (P) Ltd. for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ in Ernakulam, Kerala, proposed to be developed by M/s. Infopark**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant **approval** to the request of M/s. IBS Software Services (P) Ltd. for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ in Ernakulam, Kerala, proposed to be developed by M/s. Infopark, subject to the condition that the Memorandum of Association should have provisions of undertaking activities proposed to be carried by the co-developer in the SEZ.

**(vii) Request of M/s. Tidel Park Coimbatore Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Vilankurichi, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu proposed to be developed by Electronic Corporation of Tamil Nadu Limited (ELCOT)**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant **approval** to the request of M/s. Tidel Park Coimbatore Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Vilankurichi, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu proposed to be developed by Electronic Corporation of Tamil Nadu Limited (ELCOT).

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

### **(viii) Request of M/s. Greystone Premises Pvt. Ltd. for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ proposed to be developed by M/s. City Gold Realty Private Limited**

The Board noted that the proposal was deferred in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2008, as, in the agreement, the entire responsibility is proposed to be shifted from the developer to co-developer. The representatives of the co-developer and developer could not provide suitable clarifications in this regard. Accordingly, the Board decided to defer the proposal with the direction that a revised co-development agreement be submitted to enable further consideration of the proposal.

### **(ix) Request of M/s. Aditi Technologies Private Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Bangalore, Karnataka proposed to be developed by M/s. Manyata Promoters Private Limited**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant **approval** to the request of M/s. Aditi Technologies Private Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Bangalore, Karnataka proposed to be developed by M/s. Manyata Promoters Private Limited.

### **(x) Request of M/s. Ariston Properties Pvt. Ltd. for co-developer in the Service Sector SEZ proposed to be developed by M/s. Lahari Infrastructure Limited in Ranga Reddy District of Andhra Pradesh**

The Board noted that the proposal was deferred in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2008, as the use of term 'perpetual' was not clear in the lease agreement of 30 years. The representatives of the developer and co-developer clarified that they have submitted a clarification to the Department of Commerce which provides their consent for removal of the word 'perpetual' from the lease agreement. However, the Board noted that a revised lease agreement removing the aforesaid ambiguity has not been submitted by the developer. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal with the direction that a revised agreement be submitted for further consideration of the proposal.

### **(xi) Request of M/s Tata Consultancy Services Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Kusumagiri, Village Kakkanad, Ernakulam, Kerala proposed to be developed by Infopark**

The Board noted that the proposal was deferred in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2008 pending decision on the period / terms for lease of land. The Department of Revenue observed that specific written opinion of the Ministry of Law and Justice is not still not available on this issue. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal with the direction that specific opinion of the Ministry of Law and Justice be first obtained on this issue.

### **(xii) Request of M/s. India Gateway Terminal Private Limited for co-developer in the port based SEZ at Vallarpadam, Cochin proposed to be developed by Cochin Port Trust**

The proposal was **deferred** on the request of DoR.

### **(xiii) Request of M/s. Sunny View Estates Private Limited for co-developer in the IT SEZ at Mohali, Punjab by M/s. Quarkcity India Private Limited**

The Board noted that the proposal was deferred in the meeting of the Board of Approval held on 20<sup>th</sup> March 2008, as doubts were raised on the legal possession of 1.13

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

acres of land with the developer at the time of notification. The Development Commissioner, Noida SEZ confirmed that the land was in legal possession of the developer at the time of notification and non-encumbrance certificates certified by the land revenue authorities were submitted by the developer at the time of notification. After deliberations, the Board decided to grant **approval** to the request of request of M/s. Sunny View Estates Private Limited for co-developer in the IT SEZ at Mohali, Punjab by M/s. Quarkcity India Private Limited.

### **(xiv) Request of M/s. WDC Technologies Private Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ proposed to be developed by M/s. M.L. Dalmiya and Company Limited**

The Department of Revenue observed that there is no clarity on the terms of Co-developer agreement and the lease agreement has also not been provided. Accordingly, the Board decided to defer the proposal.

### **(xv) Request of M/s. Forum IT Parks Private Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at South 24 Parganas, West Bengal proposed to be developed by M/s. M.L. Dalmiya and Company Limited**

The Board noted that the development agreement is between Forum Project Holdings Pvt Ltd. and the developer whereas the application for the co-developer status is by Forum IT Parks Pvt. Ltd. The representatives of the developer and co-developer were advised to suitably amend the application /agreement, so that the same entity, which is a party to the co-developer agreement applies for the co-developer status. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

### **(xvi) Request of M/s. Asteck Infracon Private Limited for co-developer in the IT/ITES SEZ at Bantala, Gangapur, West Bengal proposed to be developed by M/s. M.L. Dalmiya and Company Limited**

The Board noted that the net worth of the co-developer company is negative. Accordingly, the Board decided to **defer** the proposal.

## **Item No. 3: Requests for increase / decrease in the area of the SEZ**

### **(i) Request of M/s. Sri City Private Limited for inclusion of additional area in the multi product SEZ in Andhra Pradesh**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant approval to the request of M/s. Sri City Private Limited for inclusion of additional area to the extent of 28.93 hectares in their multi product SEZ in Andhra Pradesh, to the already approved / notified area, thereby making the total area of the SEZ as 1537.15 hectares.

### **(ii) Request of M/s Primal Projects Private Limited for increasing area of the IT/ITES SEZ in Bangalore Urban District, Karnataka**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant approval to the request of M/s. Primal Projects Private Limited for inclusion of additional area to the extent of 2.312 hectares in

## Minutes of the 24<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SEZ BOA held on 1<sup>st</sup> May 08

their IT/ITES SEZ in Bangalore Urban District, Karnataka to the already notified area, thereby making the total area of the SEZ as 12.673 hectares.

### **(iii) Request of M/s. Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Limited for increasing area of the multi product SEZ in Kutch, Mundra, Gujarat**

After deliberations, the Board decided to grant approval to the request of M/s. Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Limited for inclusion of additional area to the extent of 263-22-97 hectares in their multi-product SEZ in Kutch, Mundra, Gujarat to the already notified / approved area of 4583-37-10 hectares subject to the condition that it should not include any area of the existing port.

### **(iv) Request of M/s Zydus Infrastructure Private Limited for increasing area of the Pharmaceutical SEZ at Ahmedabad, Gujarat**

The proposal was deferred as the State Government sought deferment of the proposal.

### **Item no. 9 : Request of M/s Tamil Nadu Industrial Development Corporation Limited for considering M/s AMRL International Tech City Limited as Developer in the multi-product SEZ in Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu and also for relaxation in contiguity.**

The developer explained the proposal in detail. As regards the contiguity issue, the developer explained that contiguity will be maintained by way of underpasses and culverts , wherever required. The roads will be appropriately fenced on both sides. BoA approved relaxation in contiguity accordingly subject to the following conditions.

1. Developers would establish contiguity by dedicated security gates/over bridges/under pass and also fence both sides of road with 2.4 meter high wall/chain link fencing and 0.6 meters barbed wire fencing.
2. No tax benefits would be available for the measures taken to establish contiguity.
3. The formal approval from authorities concerned like NHAI and others, would be submitted to the department of commerce and work for establishing contiguity would be started only after obtaining the requisite approvals.
4. No LoA for any SEZ unit will be issued till the entire measures to establish contiguity and securitization of the processing area are completed.

As regards the other issue of granting developer status to M/s AMRL International Tech City Limited, the Board observed that the land is in the name of two entities. Since the land has to be in the name of the Developer, the Board advised the Developer to have the entire land in its legal possession and ownership by way of lease. Or in the alternative, an SPV can be formed and land can be in the name of the SPV, which can apply for the status of Developer by way of fresh application. The Board accordingly advised the Developer to come up with a revised proposal.

**The Item numbers 4 to 8 and Item numbers 10 to 12 in the main agenda and all the items in the supplementary agenda were deferred due to paucity of time.**

